

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/880,231 06/12/2001		06/12/2001	Ron Karim	15437-0508	5058
45657	7590	04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
		MO TRUONG & I	WU, QING YUAN		
2055 GATE		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 550			2194		
SAN JOSE, CA 95110-1089				DATE MAII ED: 04/28/2004	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	\sim
K	4

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/880,231	KARIM, RON	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Qing-Yuan Wu	2194	

zororo are rumig er am rippear zire.	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Qing-Yuan Wu	2194					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)							
 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	e of the final rejection.		-				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig rethan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	hut prints the data of files - brief						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⋈ will not be entered, or b)	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>N/A</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>N/A</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it hadana ay ay tha data of Elica a N	-Alas af Americal (1911)	4 b				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	of before or on the date of filing a No and sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13.							
		.a. A					
		STEPAC					
	·		_				

PRIMARY EXAMPLE

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant's amendment to claims 1 and 17 includes the new limitation "provided by the same operating system" (claim 1, line 7; claim 17, line 8). In addition, applicant's amendment to claims 4-11 and 20-27 includes the new limitation "a/said particular mock resource." These limitations were not recited previously and would require further consideration and search.

SUE LAO
PRIMARY EXAMPLES